# Point-free equations for strict algebraic structures

Ambrus Kaposi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Eötvös Loránd University

26 May 2022, Budapest





PROGRAM FINANCED FROM THE NRDI FUND

#### Contents

- ► Strict algebraic structures
- ► Point-free equations
- Summary of results of the last three years

### Strict equations

There are two kinds of equalities, weak and strict.

Lotto: strict or weak?

(a) 
$$1+1=2$$
  
(b)  $x+0=x$   
(c)  $0+x=x$   
(d)  $x+y=y+x$ 

#### Strict equations

There are two kinds of equalities, weak and strict.

Lotto: strict or weak?

(a) 
$$1+1 = 2$$
  
(b)  $x+0 = x$   
(c)  $0+x = x$   
(d)  $x+y = y+x$ 

Definition of addition on natural numbers:

$$0 + y := y$$
  
suc(x) + y := suc(x + y)

#### Strict equations

There are two kinds of equalities, weak = and strict  $\equiv$ .

Lotto: strict or weak?

(a) 
$$1+1 \equiv 2$$
  
(b)  $x+0 = x$   
(c)  $0+x \equiv x$   
(d)  $x+y = y+x$ 

Definition of addition on natural numbers:

$$0 + y :\equiv y$$
  
suc(x) + y := suc(x + y)

Strict equations in type theory

► We cannot talk about strict equalities.

- $a \equiv b$  is a *judgement*, not a type
- a = b is a type

# Strict equations in type theory

We cannot talk about strict equalities.

- $a \equiv b$  is a *judgement*, not a type
- ► a = b is a type
- ► However in intensional type theory without function extensionality

• 
$$(\forall x.f(x) = g(x)) \rightarrow f = g$$

strict and weak equality coincide in the empty context.

# Strict equations in type theory

We cannot talk about strict equalities.

- $a \equiv b$  is a *judgement*, not a type
- ▶ a = b is a type
- However in intensional type theory without function extensionality

•  $(\forall x.f(x) = g(x)) \rightarrow f = g$ 

strict and weak equality coincide in the empty context.

Idea: we only state equations in the empty context. E.g.

- x + 0 = x is in a context including  $x : \mathbb{N}$
- $(\lambda x.x + y) = (\lambda x.x)$  is in the empty context

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a)  $(f:V \rightarrow V')$ 

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a) 
$$(f: V \rightarrow V') \times (g: E \rightarrow E')$$

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a) 
$$(f: V \rightarrow V') \times (g: E \rightarrow E') \times (\forall e.f(dom(e)) = dom'(g(e)))$$

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a)  $(f: V \rightarrow V') \times (g: E \rightarrow E') \times (\forall e.f(dom(e)) = dom'(g(e))) \times$  same for *cod* 

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a)  $(f : V \to V') \times (g : E \to E') \times (\forall e.f(dom(e)) = dom'(g(e))) \times \text{same for } cod$ (b)  $(f : V \to V') \times (g : E \to E') \times (\lambda e.f(dom(e))) = (\lambda e.dom'(g(e))) \times \text{same for } cod$ 

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a)  $(f : V \to V') \times (g : E \to E') \times (\forall e.f(dom(e)) = dom'(g(e))) \times \text{same for } cod$ (b)  $(f : V \to V') \times (g : E \to E') \times (\lambda e.f(dom(e))) = (\lambda e.dom'(g(e))) \times \text{same for } cod$ 

We cannot define composition of homomorphisms in case (a). In case (b) it works.

A graph is a 4-tuple:  $(V : Set) \times (E : Set) \times (dom : E \rightarrow V) \times (cod : E \rightarrow V)$ .

A graph homomorphism from (V, E, dom, cod) to (V', E', dom', cod') is:

(a)  $(f : V \to V') \times (g : E \to E') \times (\forall e.f(dom(e)) = dom'(g(e))) \times \text{same for } cod$ (b)  $(f : V \to V') \times (g : E \to E') \times (\lambda e.f(dom(e))) = (\lambda e.dom'(g(e))) \times \text{same for } cod$ 

We cannot define composition of homomorphisms in case (a). In case (b) it works.

Paper: using point-free equations to define the setoid model in intensional type theory

- Donkó, Kaposi: Internal strict propositions using point-free equations
- ► Conditionally accepted in the TYPES 2021 post-proceedings (LiPiCS).

# TKP project in the past 3 years in numbers

Numbers for: Ambrus Kaposi, András Kovács, Rafaël Bocquet, István Donkó

- ▶ Published: 8 conference papers, 3 journal papers
- ► Submitted papers: 4 in the review process, 1 rejected
- 5 invited talks at workshops or online seminars
- ► Conference abstracts: at least 12
- ▶ 3 presentations at Researchers's Night, ELTE Eötvös College
- Rejected grant submissions: 1 ERC, 1 Lendület, 1 SNN
- Pending grant submission: 1 Lendület, 1 OTKA
- ► In the core group of the EuroProofNet COST Action
- ▶ 1 pending PhD defence (András Kovács)

TKP project in the past 3 years by subtopics

- Metatheory of type theory
  - ► Shallow embedding project: 1 published
  - Universes: 1 published
  - Point-free project: 1 submitted, in progress
  - Münchhausen: in progress
  - Coherent type theory: in progress
  - ► HOAS: 1 to be resubmitted
- New type theories
  - ► Setoid type theory: 4 published, 1 to write up, in progress
  - Higher observational type theory: in progress
- Applied type theory
  - ► Elaboration: 1 published
  - Staged compilation: 1 accepted
  - Implementation of setoid type theory: in progress

#### Collaborators

